

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,841	09/30/2003	Simon Chu	RPS920030112US2	4457
45503 DILLON & Y	7590 07/17/200 HDFLLLP	EXAMINER		
8911 N. CAPI	TAL OF TEXAS HWY	NEWAY, SAMUEL G		
SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX	78759		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11001111,111	.0.25		2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/674,841	CHU ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel G. Neway	2626			

	Samuel G. Neway	2626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 12 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of the application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate stansion fee have been filled is the date for pruposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate stansioning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate stansioning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate stansioning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate stansioning the corresponding amount of the fee. The date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any sermed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENUMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c			ie issues ioi					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4.								
non-allowable claim(s). To proproses of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) thow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but 		•						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626								

Continuation of 3(c): The amendments to claim 1 do not change the scope of the claim, the fact that the computer does not receive information derived from a CPS signal is still a necessary requirement for downloading the first software. Therefore, the 35 USC 112 rejections of the last final rejection still stand.

As for the arguments that Wall does not teach "requesting a second software if a physical of a client computer is not within an authorized location range for a first software from a first list of authorized location ranges", it is noted that Wall's limited access software, exide to a Applicant's second software, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time invention to use Kyotoku's method of checking a computer's location before downloading a software on Wall's limited access software.